

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/648,631	08/25/2003		Tony Hunter	066671-0044	5328
54244	7590	03/30/2006		EXAMINER	
KLARQUI 121 S.W. SA		KMAN, LLP TREET	YAO, LEI		
SUITE 1600		IKEEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND	O, OR 97	⁷ 204	1642		

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/648,631	HUNTER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lei Yao, Ph.D.	1642	

	Lei Yao, Ph.D.	1642	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence ad-	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TI		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior t this application, applicant must timely file one of th places the application in condition for allowance; (a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in cor time periods: 	to or on the same day as filing a te following replies: (1) an amend 2) a Notice of Appeal (with appea	Notice of Appeal. To avoid ab dment, affidavit, or other evide al fee) in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See Months	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the dat expire later than SIX MONTHS from x (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) \	n the mailing date of the final rejec	tion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). To have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offmay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 NOTICE OF APPEAL	he date on which the petition under a od of extension and the corresponding of the shortened statutory period for fice later than three months after the	ng amount of the fee. The approp reply originally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or ar a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of he appeal. Since
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reje (a)☐ They raise new issues that would require fund (b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOT	ther consideration and/or search [·] E below);	(see NOTE below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without cance NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3		finally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 Cl		of Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		, , ,	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would non-allowable claim(s). 		separate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 21,28,33 and 34. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 4,5,8,25,32 and 36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	(s): a) ⊠ will not be entered, or is provided below or appended.	b) will be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final act because applicant failed to provide a showing of go was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ion, but before or on the date of ood and sufficient reasons why t	filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> he affidavit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence fail showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is need. 	led to overcome <u>all</u> rejections un cessary and was not earlier pres	der appeal and/or appellant fa sented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expl REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	lanation of the status of the clain	ns after entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been conside See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the app	olication in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	

MARENA CANELLA PH.D

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The claim 4 is amended to Pin 1 polypeptide consisting of a WW domain (5-23 of SEQ IDNO:2) in the first line of the claim, however, the claim further recites the PPlase domain having PPI activity (line 5). The specification has taught that the PPI domain is located at amino acid residues 59-163 of SEQ IDNO:2, not at amino acid residues 5-23 of SEQ IDNO:2. Same concern is raised in claim 8.

In addition, the response filed 3/3/06 has been carefully considered but is deemed not to be persuasive. Applicants argue that the specification give examples that demonstrate that the applicants had possession of the domain of Pin protein fused to a genus of heterologous polypeptide including an epitope tag, a carrier protein, a DNA binding domain, a Transactivation domain, or an enzyme-suitable for use as a label and point out where the epitope tags are disclosed in the specification. In response to this argument, the claims recite a genus of fusion polypeptides including DNA binding domain, a Transactivation domain, the claims do not limit any particular conserved structural attributes. The specification provides limited number of the claimed tags fused to Pin 1, which do not represent the genus of the claimed invention. For example, the specification teaches GAL4 with a DNA binding domain operatively associated with NIMA, which is used for screening of the cDNA library to identify Pin protein (page 4-5), in fact, the GAL4 protein is fused to NIMA, not Pin 1. The specification does not provide any other teaching that Pin protein or Pin 1 domain fused to any other polypeptide, which has a DNA binding domain or Transactivation domain.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lei Yao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-3112. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4.30pm Monday to Friday. Any inquiry of a general nature, matching or file papers or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Kim Downing for Art Unit 1642 whose telephone number is 571-272-0521

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lei Yao, Ph.D.ExaminerArt Unit 1642

LY